

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/773,209	Applicant(s) MARKS ET AL.	
	Examiner Benjamin H. Layno	Art Unit 3711	

All Participants:

(1) Benjamin H. Layno.

(2) Daniel Marks.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 September 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

45, 79, 80, 87 and 88

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that claims 45 and 87 contained allowable subject matter, however, it was not clearly defined in the claims. The Examiner suggested a proposed amendment to claims 45 and 87 that more clearly defined the allowable subject matter. The Applicant's Representative agreed to the proposed amendment. Claim 88 did not have allowable subject matter, and it was agreed to have claim 88 canceled..